Appl. No. 10/708,333 Amdt. dated July 24, 2006 Reply to Office action of May 30, 2006

#### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

# 1. Objection of claim 5 due to improper dependency:

## Response:

5

Claim 5 has been amended to overcome this rejection. Specifically, "claim 1" at line 1 has been changed to "claim 2", and "of claim 2" at lines 3-4 has been deleted as the Examiner suggested. No new matter is introduced by these amendments, and withdrawal of this objection is politely requested.

## 10 2.Objection of the specification due to formal matter:

## Response:

In paragraph [0004], Pat. No. "6,464,952" has been changed to "6,164,952" as the Examiner suggested. No new matter is introduced by this amendment, and withdrawal of this objection is politely requested.

# 3.Objection of the specification for failing to include similar language as claimed in claims 2 and 5:

# 20 Response:

Paragraphs [0049] ~ [0054] and [0058] have been amended to incorporate the specific MEMS technologies steps of claims 2 and 5 into the specification as the Examiner suggested. These amendments find support in the claims and drawings, and no new matter is introduced. Withdrawal of this objection is politely requested.

25

15

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

July 24, 2006

To: USPTO Page 14 of 14

Appl. No. 10/708,333 Amdı, dated July 24, 2006 Reply to Office action of May 30, 2006

Sincerely yours,

1	Western	4 /2 11	
1	Vudon bar	Dat	

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526

P.O. BOX 506, Merrifield, VA 22116, U.S.A.

Voice Mail: 302-729-1562 Facsimile: 806-498-6673

e-mail: winstonhsu@naipo.com

10

Note: Please leave a message in my voice mail if you need to talk to me. (The time in D.C. is 12 hours behind the Taiwan time, i.e. 9 AM in D.C. = 9 PM in Taiwan.)